

Quarterly Journal of Ouantitative Economics

Journal Homepage: www.jqe.scu.ac.ir

Print ISSN: 2008-5850 Online ISSN: 2717-4271



The Response of Iranian Economy to Monetary and Exchange Rate Policies Shocks Base on the Foreign Sector: A Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Analysis

Yousef Albaji *, Karim Azarbayejani ** D, Saeed Daei-Karimzadeh ***

Email: albaji2013@gmail.com

Email: k_azarbayjani@ase.ui.ac.ir

00000-0002-6561-0809

Postal address: Department of Economics, University of Isfahan, Azadi Square, Isfahan, Iran

*** Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan.

Email: karimzadeh@khuisf.ac.ir

ARTICLE HISTORY	JEL	KEYWORDS
	CLASSIFICATION	
Received: 8 June 2020	E52, E47, E61, F41	DSGE Model, Trade
revision: 20 February 2021		Balance, Managed
acceptance: 25 February 2021		Exchange Regime,
		Flexible Exchange
		Regime, Pegged
		Exchange Regime

^{*} PhD Student, Department of Economics, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran.

^{**} Professor of Economics, Faculty of administrative science and Economics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran (Corresponding Author).



Further Information:

The present article is taken from the doctoral dissertation of *Yousef Albaji* with Supervisor of *Karim Azarbiejani* and advisor of *Saeed Daei*-Karimzadeh at the Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch.

Acknowledgments: Acknowledgments may be made to individuals or institutions that have made an important contribution.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

How to Cite:

Albaji, Yousef., Azarbiejani, Karim & Daei-Karimzadeh, Saeed. (2024). The Response of Iranian Economy to Monetary and Exchange Rate Policies Shocks Base on the Foreign Sector: A Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Analysis. *Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics (JQE)*, 20(4), 1-37.

10.22055/jqe.2021.33852.2255

© 2024 Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0 license) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Emergence of the new Keynesian School and its dramatic impact on the Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) models and integration with concepts such as nominal rigidity and monopoly competition, have made these models a central focus of the monetary economist and central banks. In the framework of this school and using the literature of such models, we built an estimable DSGE model for the Iranian economy. By simulating the effects of the implementation of monetary and foreign exchange policies through the policy instruments, bank interest rate, central banks international reserves and the nominal exchange rate, are measured on the macroeconomic variables, the real trade balance, production gap, inflation rate, real exchange rate and foreign assets.



Yousef Albaji, Karim Azarbiejani, Saeed Daei-Karimzadeh

Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics(JQE) (2024) 20(4)

METHODOLOGY

In order to formulate an appropriate model, first the behavioral equations of economic agents have been specified according to the realities of the Iranian economy. Traditionally, an inter-period utility function and a production and profit function have been considered to explain the behavior of consumers and producers, respectively. These agents want to maximize their benefits (utility – profit) or the goal function. The foreign sector is added to the model as a trade balance (net exports) which is the key function. Policy making is operated via the optimum simple rule and under three alternative currency regimes: Managed Exchange Rate (MER), Floating Exchange Rate (FER) and Pegged Exchange Rate (PER) regime. The monetary authority (central bank) has designed four methods for applying the mentioned policies: inflation target, production target, production and inflation targets and finally inflation, production and real exchange rate targets. The analyzed variables are production gap, country's trade balance (without oil), inflation rate and real exchange rate.

policy instruments also include bank interest rate, foreign reserves of the central bank and the rate of change in the nominal exchange rate. After designing and adjusting the model for the Iranian economy and determining the necessary dynamics, the linear equation system was prepared. The effects of monetary and exchange policies on foreign sector variables was analyzed according to the mass of this sector in production and employment and based on model's dynamic relations. Furthermore, the actions, reactions and influences of these policies on country's trade balance have been measured in the format of variable fluctuations. The model is simulated by using calibrated real data and Dynare software under MATLAB.

FINDINGS

The findings indicate that in all policy rules, the scenario of the intermediate currency system has superiority over other currency systems and causes less fluctuations in model's endogenous variables comparing to the other alternative currency systems.

CONCLUSION

The results show that Managed Exchange Rate (MER) for all four methods is optimum and the loss of central bank is minimized as much as possible and compared to other systems, it has caused the fluctuations of the external sector variables of Iran's economy to be minimized. Hence, it is necessary for the



Central Bank to strictly use the intermediate currency system as the dominant scenario when setting policy packages.

Refrence

- Amato, J. D., & Laubach, T. (2003). Estimation and Control of and Optimization-based Model with Sticky Prices and Wages, *Journal of Economic Dynamic & Control*, 27(7), 1181-1215. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-1889(02)00021-0
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Kantipong, T. (2001). Bilateral J-curve between Thailand and her trading partners. *Journal of Economic Development*, 26(2), 107-118.
- Bahrami, J., & Qureshi, N. (2011). Analyzing the Monetary Policy in Iran Economy by Using a Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model. *Economic Modeling*, *5*(13), 1-22. Retrieved from https://eco.firuzkuh.iau.ir/article_555543.html?lang=en [In Persian]
- Christiano, L. J., Eichenbaum, M., & Evans, C. L. (2005). Nominal rigidities and the dynamic effects of a shock to monetary policy. *Journal of political Economy*, 113(1), 1-45.
- Escudé, G. J. (2013). A DSGE model for a SOE with Systematic Interest and Foreign Exchange policies in which policymakers exploit the risk premium for stabilization purposes. *Economics*, 7(1), 2013-2030.
- Fuhrer, J., & Moore, G. (1995). Inflation persistence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(1), 127-159.
- Gali, J. (1999). Technology, employment, and the business cycle: do technology shocks explain aggregate fluctuations?. *American economic review*, 89(1), 249-271.
- Ghironi, F. (2000). Towards New Open Economy Macroeconometrics.

 **Boston College Department of Economics.469(2), 234-265. Retrieved from https://econpapers.repec.org/paper/bocbocoec/469.htm
 - Goodfriend, M., & King, R. G. (1997). The new neoclassical synthesis and the role of monetary policy. *NBER macroeconomics annual*, 12, 231-283.
 - Khiabani, N., & Amiri, H.(2014). The Position of Monetary and fiscal Policies with emphasizing on Oil Sector with DSGE Models (the case of Iran). *Journal of Economic Research*, 54(14), 133-173. Retrieved from https://joer.atu.ac.ir/article 803 en.html?lang=fa [In Persian]
 - Kydland, F. E., & Prescott, E. C. (1982). Time to build and aggregate fluctuations. Econometrica. *Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1345-1370.



Levin, A., Wieland, V., & Williams, J. C. (2003). The performance of forecast-based monetary policy rules under model uncertainty. *American Economic Review*, 93(3), 622-645.

20(4)

- Malik, H. (2005). Monetary-Exchange Rate Policy and Current Account Dynamics. *MPRA paper*, 455, 1-32.
- Mashhadizadeh, F., Piraee, Kh., Akbari Moghaddam, B & Zare, H. (2022). Monetary policy and commodity terms of trade shocks. *Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics (JQE)*, 19(1), 29-52. 10.22055/JQE.2019.28034.2003. [In Persian]
- Medina, J. P., & Soto, C. (2005). Oil shocks and monetary policy in an estimated DSGE model for a small open economy. *Documento de Trabajo*, 353, Retrieved from https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/1386765.pdf
- Motavaseli, M., & Ebrahimi, I. (2010). Monetary Policy Role in Transmission of the Effects of Oil Shocks on Iran's Economy. *The Journal of Economic Studies and Policies*, 0(18), 27-50. doi: 10.22096/esp.2010.26220
- Motavaseli, M., & Ebrahimi, I.(2011). Monetary Policy Role in Transmission of the Effects of Oil Shocks on Iran's Economy. *Journal Nameh Mofeed.* 18, 7-50. Retrieved from https://economic.mofidu.ac.ir/article_26220.html?lang=en#:~:text= 10.22096/esp.2010.26220 [In Persian]
- Musil, K. (2009). International Growth Rule Model: New Approach to the Foreign Sector of the Open Economy. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Masaryk University, Faculty of Economics and Administration. Brno.
- Nistico, Salvatore. (2010). Monetary Policy and Stock-Price Dynamics in a DSGE Framework. *Journal Macroeconomics*, 34(2012), 126-146.
- Olivera, J. H. G. (1977). On Passive Money, *The Journal of Political Economy*, 78(4), Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/259678
- Rotemberg, J. J., & Woodford, M. (1997). An optimization-based econometric framework for the evaluation of monetary policy. *NBER macroeconomics annual*, 12, 297-346.
- Smets, F., & Wouters, R. (2005). Comparing shocks and frictions in US and euro area business cycles: a Bayesian DSGE approach. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 20(2), 161-183.
- Smets, F., & Wouters, R. (2007). Shocks and frictions in US business cycles: A Bayesian DSGE approach. *American economic review*, 97(3), 586-606.



- Taee, H. (2006). An Estimation of Labour Supply Function Using the Iranian Micro Data. *Iranian Journal of Economic Research*. 29, 93-112. Retrieved from https://ijer.atu.ac.ir/article_3675.html?lang=en [In Persian]
- Tavakoliyan, H., & Komijan, A. (2012). Monetary policy under fiscal domination and implicit target inflation in the form of a stochastic dynamic general equilibrium model for the Iranian economy. *Journal of Economic Modeling Research*, 8, 88-117. Retrieved from http://qjerp.ir/article-1-2128-en.html [In Persian]
- Taylor, J. B. (1993, December). Discretion versus policy rules in practice. *In Carnegie-Rochester conference series on public policy*, 39, 195-214. North-Holland.
- Taylor, J. B., & Wieland, V. (2012). Surprising comparative properties of monetary models: results from a new model database. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94(3), 800-816.
- Zare, R. (2022). Monetary Policy and Stock Market Cycles in Iran. *Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics* (*JQE*), 19(1), 1-27. 10.22055/JQE.2020.25910.1880. [In Persian]