

Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics(JQE) (former Economic Studies)

Journal Homepage: www.jqe.scu.ac.ir

Print ISSN: 2008-5850 Online ISSN: 2717-4271



Investigating the Nonlinear Effects of Absorption Capacity and Relative Backwardness on International R & D Inflows and Total Factor Productivity in Developing Countries (Panel Smooth Transition Regression approach)

Nasim Hamzenejad, * Behzad Salmani ** @ and Mohammad Mahdi Barghi ***

* PhD Student in Economics, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. Email: nasim.hamzenejad@gmail.com

** Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. (Corresponding Author).

Email: Behsalmani@gmail.com

0 0000-0002-9638-4699

Postal address: 29 Bahman Blvd., Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, East Azerbaijan Province, Postal Code: 5166616471, Iran.

*** Associate Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. Email: mahdi_oskooe@yahoo.com

ARTICLE HISTORY Received: 31 August 2019

JEL CLASSIFICATION

C23, C24, F43, O30, O47

Absorption Capacity, Relative backwardness, International R&D Spillovers, Panel Smooth

Transition Regression approach

KEYWORDS

revision: 27 November 2020 acceptance: 14 Junuary 2021 Online publication: 14 Junuary 2021

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgments may be made to individuals or institutions that have made an important contribution.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

How to Cite:

Hamzenejad, Nasim, Salmani, Behzad & Barghi, Mohammad Mahdi. (2021). Investigating the Nonlinear Effects of Absorption Capacity and Relative Backwardness on International R & D Inflows and Total Factor Productivity in Developing Countries (Panel Smooth Transition Regression approach), *Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics(JQE) (former Economic Studies*, 18(1), 17-34.

doi 10.22055/jqe.2021.30180.2141



EXTENDED ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Recent theories regarding economic growth show that research and development (R&D, hereafter) activities are of the most salient factors in the process of science production and play an important role in improving the productivity level of all production agents. That is why, developed countries pay special attention to such activities and allocate and invest high research budgets in these areas. However, due to low R&D budgets and limited capital resources for manufacturing enterprises in developing countries, these countries can benefit from the overflow of international R&D activities, hence improve the total productivity of their production agents. But the important issue is that, some internal and/or external factors, such as the absorption capacity of countries and/or the degree of their relative backwardness can affect the impact mechanism of the overflows with regard to productivity and cause some possible nonlinear effects. To investigate these possible nonlinear effects, more flexible methods are required to enable appropriate identification and investigation of the behavior of these factors in terms of the impact mechanism of international R&D spillovers.

Accordingly, in the present study, the threshold effects of absorption capacity and relative backwardness on international R&D overflows in developing countries is investigated. The nonlinear panel smooth transition regression (PSTR) is used in order to investigate the subject matter of the current study within the time period of 1995-2015. For this purpose, the impact channel of international research and development overflows on the total efficiency of production factors was used; in two separate estimates, the effectiveness of the two variables of absorption capacity transfer and relative backwardness on the performance of international R&D overflows, and consequently the total productivity of the production factors were examined.

METHODOLOGY

Given that in regression models based on panel data, heterogeneous temporal and cross-sectional effects in the data are determined by the fixed and random effects model, and in such models the elasticities (coefficients of variables)) Between countries and over time, a linear equation cannot allow changes in the productivity of total factors of production to reflect all possible nonlinear effects relative to the R&D overflow. In this study, in order to investigate and test the relationship between variables, the panel model uses a gentle panel regression regression econometric technique. For this purpose, following the study of Gonzalez et al. (2005) and colitis and Harolin (2006), a two-diet PSTR model with a transfer function is first defined as follows:

$$LnTFP_{ii} = \alpha_{i} + \beta_{0}^{d} LnS_{ii}^{d} + \beta_{0}^{h} LnH_{ii} + \beta_{0}^{f} LnS_{ii}^{f} + \left[\beta_{1}^{d} LnS_{ii}^{d} + \beta_{1}^{h} LnH_{ii} + \beta_{1}^{f} LnS_{ii}^{f}\right]G(q_{ii}; \gamma, c) + \varepsilon_{ii}$$

In relation (1), i = 1,..., N and t = 1,..., T, respectively, represent the sections and time dimensions of the panel data. The dependent variable indicates the productivity of all factors of production, and the independent variables, respectively, indicate the overflow of domestic R&D, human capital and the overflow of international R&D. The fixed effects of sections and sentences are model errors that are considered as follows. It also represents a continuous and finite transfer function between zero and one. According to the theoretical and experimental foundations in the field of study, in this study, the variables of absorption capacity and relative backwardness (degree of development) have been selected as the transfer variable.

FINDINGS

According to the results and considering the threshold effects of absorption capacity and relative backwardness, the international R&D overflows impact factor on the total productivity of production factors increases. In other words, the results showed that, the variable of absorption capacity, which is equivalent to the average years of human resource training, has a positive and significant effect on the international R&D overflows impact with regard to the total efficiency of production factors in developing countries. That is, by increasing the threshold limit of absorption capacity, the effect of overflows on the productivity also increases. Therefore, developing countries need a certain amount of human resource training in order to enable them to benefit from the effects of the international overflow on total productivity of the production factors. Also, the relative backwardness index acts directly, and with an increase of technological gap in developing countries and moving away from the leading country (the United States is considered in the current study) the extent of the international overflows impact on the total productivity of production factors increases. But this increasing trend will exist to some extent of the backwardness. Of course, it is worth noting that, according to the results, this direct relationship is established up to a certain threshold level of the absorption capacity and relative backwardness in developing countries and in countries with very high absorption capacity or are greatly lagging behind. The linear regime determines the behavior of variables and as such, the influence coefficient of overflows on the total productivity of production factors in these countries is reported to be weaker.



CONCLUSION

Therefore, in order to reap the benefits of R&D international overflows to improve their productivity, it is recommended that developing countries should identify their potentials in international trade and consider the areas of R&D overflows that benefit them most. In addition, by efficiently training and educating specialized human resources and strengthening the internal absorption capacity, these countries will be able to absorb and localize new technologies and provide the ground for absorbing as many overflows as possible. Also, by proper policy-making in the use of domestic resources, these countries can allocate appropriate budgets for domestic R&D activities and seriously monitor them by strengthening domestic production to varying degrees of development. Accordingly, they might become able to reduce their technological gap from leading countries so that they can make the most out of their international trade, and by attracting more foreign technologies, compete effectively in the global arena and benefit from overflows to improve their productivity.

Reference

- Abramovitz, M. (1986). Catching-up, forging ahead, and falling behind. Journal of Economic History, XLVI (2), 385-406.
- Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica*, 60 (2), 323–51.
- Aiyar Shekhar, S., & Feyrer, J. (2010). A Contribution to the Empirics of Total Factor Productivity. August 12, Dartmouth College Working Paper. 02-09...
- Behboodi, D., & Mamipour, S. (2007). International trade, knowledge overflow and total productivity of Iranian production factors. Journal of New Economy and Commerce, 9, 33-55. (in Persion)
- Cainelli, G., Fracasso, A., & Vittucci Marzetti, G. (2014). Spatial agglomeration and productivity in Italy:a panel smooth transition regression approach.. Regional Science, 10(4), 128-166.
- Coe, D. T., Helpman, E., & Hoffmaister, A. W. (1997). North-south R&D spillovers. *The Economic Journal*, 107 (440), 134–149.
- Coe, D., & Helpman, E. (1995). International R&D spillovers. European Economic Review, 39, 859–887.
- Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1989). Innovation and learning: The two faces of R&D. Economic Journal, 99 (397), 569-96.
- Colletaz, G., & Hurlin, C. (2006). Threshold effects in the public capital productivity: an international panel smooth transition approach. document de Recherche du Laboratoire d'Economie d'Orléans. 2006-1.
- Crespo-Cuaresma, J., Foster, N., & Scharler, J. (2004). On the determinants of absorptive capacity: Evidence from OECD countries. In: Proceedings of OENB Workshops. Vol. 2/2004.
- Daliri, H., Nazari, A. (2019). Threshold Effects of Inflation on Growth in D8 Countries: A Panel Smooth Transition Regression Approach. Quarterly Journal of Quantitative Economics (JQE), 15(4), 10.22055/jqe.2018.23066.1706 .(in Persion)
- Engelbrecht, H. J. (1997). International R&D spillovers, human capital and productivity in oecd economies: An empirical investigation. European Economic Review. 41 (8), 1479–1488.
- Falvey, R., Foster, N., & Greenaway, D. (2007). Relative backwardness, absorptive capacity and knowledge spillovers. Economics Letters, 97 (3), 230–234.
- Fouquau, J., Hurlin, C., & Rabaud, I. (2008). The Feldstein-Horioka puzzle: A panel smooth transition regression approach. Economic Modelling, 25 (2), 284-299.
- Fracasso, A., & G. Vittucci Marzetti, G. (2015). International trade and R&D spillovers, Journal of International Economics, 1996(15), 6-30.
- Fracasso, A., & Vittucci Marzetti, G. (2012). An empirical note on international R&D spillovers. Empirical Economics, 45 (1), 179-191.



- Gerschen kron, A. (1962). Economic backwardness in historical perspective. BelknapPress, Cambridge MA.
- Gonzalez, A. & Terasvirta, T., & van Dijk, D.(2005). Panel smooth transition Model and an Application to Investment Under Credit Constraint, SSE/EFI. Working Paper Series. *Economics and Finance 604*, Stockholm School of Economics.
- Griliches, Z. (1988). Productivity puzzles and R&D: another non-explanation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2(4), 9-21.
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1991)b. Trade, knowledge spillovers and growth. *European Economic Review*, 35 (2), 517–526.
- Hansen, B. E. (1999). Threshold effects in non-dynamic panels: estimation, testing, and inference. *Journal of Econometrics*, 93 (2), 334–368.
- Heydari, H., Farrokh Nohad, P., & Mohammadzadeh, Y. (1395). The role of research and development and Absorption Capacity in the Productivity of Production factors in Developing Countries. *Quarterly Journal of Research and Planning in Higher Education*, 3, 62-37 .(in Persion)
- Jude, E. (2010). Financial development and growth: Panel smooth regression approach. *Journal of Economic Development*, 35(1), 15-33.
- Keller, W. (1996). Absorptive capacity: On the creation and acquisition of technology in development. Journal of Development Economics 49 (1), 199-227.
- Keller, W. (2005). International technology di_usion. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42 (3), 752-782.
- Kwark, N.-S., & Shyn, Y.-S. (2006). International R&D spillovers revisited: Human capital as an absorptive capacity for foreign technology. *International Economic Journal*, 20 (2), 179-196.
- Luukkonen, R., Saikkonen, P., & Terasvirta, T. (1988). Testing linearity against smooth transition autoregressive models. *Biometrika*, 75, 491-499.
- Mancusi, M. L. (2008). International spillovers and absorptive capacity: A cross country cross-sector analysis based on patents and citations. Journal of International Economics, 76 (2), 155-165.
- Matthews, R. C. O. (1969). Why growth rates differ. Economic Journal, 79 (314), 261-268.
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. Journal of Political Economy, 98 (5), 71-102.
- Sepehrdoost, H., Shahabadi, A., & Shojaei, A. (2013). The effect of foreign R&D and human capital overflow on the technical efficiency of production factors. *Quarterly Iraniian Journal of Trade Studies*, 68, 149-174. (in Persion)
- Shahabadi, A., & Amiri, Mustafa. (2014). The Impact of Domestic Research and Development Accumulation and External R&D Overflow on Total Productivity Growth of Iran's Agricultural Production Factors. *Journal of Applied Economies Studies, Iran*, 3 (9), 93-112 .(in Persion)
- Shahabadi, A., & Rahmani, O. (2008). The Role of Accumulation of Domestic and Foreign Research and Development on the Productivity Growth of all factors of Production in the Industrial Sector. *Quarterly Journal of New Economy and Trade*, 14, 18-38 .(in Persion)
- Shahbazi, K., & Saeedpour, L. (2013). The impact of financial development thresholds on the economic growth of D8 countries. *Quarterly Journal of Economic Growth and Development Research*, 3 (12), 21-38 .(in Persion)
- World Bank (2017). World Bank Development Indicators. Reported by Www.Worldbank.org.

Appendix:

The list of developing countries used in this study is as follows: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, South Korea, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Singapore, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Russia, Argentina, Brazil, Iran, Malaysia, Peru, Romania, Thailand, Turkey, China, Bulgaria, Colombia, Mexico, Kazakhstan, Armenia, India, Philippines, Ukraine, Burkina Faso, Paraguay, Egypt, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, Nigeria.